

RPC operation at the LHC experiments in an optimized closed loop gas system

M. Capeans, I. Glushkov, <u>R. Guida</u>, F. Hahn, S. Haider CERN, Switzerland



Nuclear Science Symposium Medical Imaging Conference 25-31 October 2009 · Orlando, Florida, USA



Outline

RPC detectors at LHC: main parameters and working conditions

➤Closed loop operation and gas filtering:

•Test set-up

- •Identification of the main impurities
- •Characterization of several cleaning agents
- •Impurities in closed loop operation

➤Gas flow distribution in RPC

➤Conclusions



The RPC detector

Resistive Plate Counters → resistive parallel plate gaseous detector Developed around 1980 in Italy by R. Santonico et al. NIM 187 (1981) 377-380





RPCs for LHC experiments

Experiment	ATLAS	CMS	ALICE	ALICE	
			MTR	TOF	
Material	Bakelite	Bakelite	Bakelite	Glass	
Layout	Single-gap	Double-gap	Single-gap	Multi-gap	
Read-out (coordinate)	2	1	2	2	
Surface (m ²)	4000	4000	140	171	
Volume (m ³)	16	16	0.3	18	
Expected	10	Barrel: 10	10	50	
Background rate (Hz/cm ²)		Endcap:100			
Integrated charge (mC/cm ²)	500	Barrel: 50 Endcap: 500	50		
Gas system operation	Closed loop	Closed loop	Open mode	Closed loop	
Gas mixture	R134a/iC ₄ H ₁₀ /SF ₆	R134a/iC ₄ H ₁₀ /SF ₆	$\frac{R134a/iC_{4}H_{10}/SF_{6}}{Ar/R134a/iC_{4}H_{10}/SF_{6}}$	R134a/iC ₄ H ₁₀ /SF ₆	



RPCs for LHC experiments

Why RPCs for application in LHC experiments need a particular "care"?

➢Huge (~4000 m² of sensitive area) and very expensive (6 10⁶ CHF) systems (for comparison BaBar was about 2000 m²)

≻Very long period of operation expected (at least 10 years)

≻Very high level of background radiation expected

Integrated charge never reached before: 50 mC/cm² for ALICE and CMS 500 mC/cm² in ATLAS

≻Large detector volume → basically impossible to operate the gas system in open mode → closed loop operation → gas mixture quality



Closed loop gas circulation

 \blacktriangleright Large detector volume (~16 m³ in ATLAS and CMS)

➤use of a relatively expensive gas mixture

 \rightarrow closed-loop circulation system unavoidable.

Nowadays with 5-10 % of fresh gas replenishing rate \rightarrow cost is ~700 \notin day



But....

Several extra-components appear in the return gas of irradiated RPCsDetector performances can be affected if impurities are not properly removed





RPC mixture study: test set-up



Goal of the test:

≻List/identify the impurities

≻Assign to each a danger factor according to the RPC performance

 \succ In order to optimize the mixture purification

≻<u>Two sets of chambers</u>:

Dopen mode: characterization of the purifiers

□closed loop: filtering and/or accumulation of impurities – long term operation for validation in closed loop mode

➢<u>High gamma radiation flux</u> (1 cGy/h; RPC counting rate ~200 Hz/cm²) over a large area at the CERN Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF)



RPCs performance checks

RPC performance monitored in terms of current, HV stability, Bakelite resistivity









Gas analysis results: Fluoride

Two method used to evaluate the Fluoride concentration in the exhausted gas:

Fluoride specific electrodeHPLC (liquid chromatography)

Measured concentration as a function of time: basically no HF produced with radiation On – and RPC Off



F⁻ production rate is proportional to the current

Several mixtures have been tested. Results are reported vs detector efficiency.

No real differences on a short time test.





Gas analysis results: Fluoride

F⁻ are effectively filtered in the combination of Molecular Sieve currently in use.



Analysis of the composition of used **absorbers** show the presence of F^- . if this verify affects To the purification effectiveness at longterm.

We plan to study the behaviour of the other cleaning agents and the saturation level in F-





Bakelite SEM results

We analyzed few bakelite samples from an RPC with relatively high current (after an accumulated charge equivalent to 10 LHC year). The visual inspection of the surface shows at least two different kinds of surface defects:





Gas analysis results: chromatography



Many extra components identified in the return mixture from detector

- ≻Operated with open mode gas system
- ≻Under high gamma radiation (x 30 acceleration factor)
- \checkmark Concentration at the ppm level
- ✓ Mainly hydrocarbons
- \checkmark other Freon





Filtering Capacity of Molecular Sieve 5Å and 4Å

Mol Sieves: filter as they should H_2O (capacity ~ 150 g(H2O)/kg(MolSieve)) + filter some extra impurities + Absorb part of the RPC mix (need conditioning)





However, many impurities are removed (for a certain time equivalent to ~2000 volume change in the purifier cartridge) $30_{\text{End}Sieve 4A}$





Filtering Capacity of R11(Cu catalyst) and R12 (Cu-Zn catalyst)

Filter as they should O₂ (capacity ~ 5 g(O2)/kg(catalyst)) + H₂O (capacity ~ 50 g(H₂O)/kg(catalyst)). R11 filters additional impurities, R12 does not and it enhance an extra component



R12: basically no extra component filtered comp. #3 is even enhanced



Filtering Capacity of Ni-Al₂O₃ catalyst



Component #2 (not present in return mixture) is strongly enhanced After a short stop, the catalyst is releasing important concentration of extracomponents (in the plot they can be compared with the SF_6 signal)



Systematic understanding of a set of purifiers vs some impurities

	Conditioning (volume change)	Main component filtered	Saturation (g/kg)	1 CH ₄	3 C ₂ H ₂ F ₂	5 CH ₂ F ₂	6 C ₂ HF ₃	7 C ₂ H ₃ F ₃	8 C ₃ H ₆
MS3A	3	H ₂ O	140	Unch.	Unch.	Unch.	Unch.	Unch.	Unch.
MS4A	10	H ₂ O	170	Unch.	Unch.	Rem.	Unch.	Rem.	Rem.
MS5A	50	H ₂ O	130	Unch.	Back after 1000 vol change	Rem.	Rem.	Rem.	Rem.
Cu R11	20	0 ₂	4	Unch.	Unch.	Unch.	Rem.	Rem.	~Rem.
Cu/Zn R12	20	O ₂	4	Unch.	Enhanced	Unch.	Rem.	Rem.	450 vol change
Ni Al2O3	15	O ₂	15	Unch.	Unch.	Unch.	Rem.	150 vol change	150 vol change
Ni SiO2	15	0 ₂	15	Unch.	Unch.	Unch.	Rem.	Unch.	Unch.

MS5Å, MS4Å; Cu-R11; Ni-Al₂O₃ selected for the test in closed loop.....



PROPOSED SET-UP





Closed loop operation



After few days of operation, only impurities 5 and 6 are still removed.
Some extra-components show higher concentration in closed loop return wrt open mode return (as expected if not completely filtered)
RPC performances do not show any degradation



Gas flow distribution

Experience says that the gas flow has to be at least ~ 0.3 vol/h even without radiation Is the gas distribution inside the gap the origin of this limit?

Is there a room for future improvements?

Can we remove more effectively the impurities from the gas volume?

Some preliminary results coming from a finite element simulation:





Conclusions

➤Two sets of RPCs (one working in open mode and the other in closed loop) are being operated under a high gamma radiation at the CERN-GIF (Gamma Irradiation Facility).

≻Many impurities, present in the RPC return gas mixture, have been identified (Fluoride ion, hydrocarbons, other Freon,..).

➤A systematic study of cleaning agents has been performed: allowing to select the "best" combination for a long-term closed loop operation under high gamma radiation (long-term validation on-going).

Simulation studies of the gas flow in RPCs show regions where gas molecules move very slowly. This can lead to a local accumulation of impurities that can define the overall RPC performance. We are studying realistic ways to optimize the flow gas distribution.